



EVALUATION OF UNIVERSITY SPECIFIC DEGREES

Academic year 2016-17

2nd INTERNATIONAL ON-LINE COURSE ON POSTHARVEST AND FRESH-CUT TECHNOLOGIES

Chair of the Course: Mr. Francsico de Asís Artés Hernández



2ND INTERNATIONAL ON-LINE COURSE ON POSTHARVEST AND FRESH-CUT TECHNOLOGIES

Date of issue: 5th October 2017

I. METHODOLOGY AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE

The population under study consists of all the participants enrolled in this course.

The method of evaluation was a survey sent online to all participants, and the answers collected make up the sample of this study.

The characteristics of this sample are as follows:

CHARACTERISTICS	
NAME OF COURSE	2 nd International On-line course on Postharvest and Fresh-cut Technologies. Cod.4413
TYPE OF COURSE	Online
DURATION	62,5 HOURS
TOTAL POPULATION	15
SAMPLE SIZE	9
SAMPLE PERCENTAGE	60,00%
DATE OF ACCOMPLISHMENT	15th September 2017
TECHNICS USED FOR ANALYSIS	Descriptive univariate analysis: frecuencies, means, medians and standard deviations.

The rating scale used in the survey goes from value 1 (the most negative value) to 5 (the most positive value).



II. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS


The following section provides information about the questions included in the survey as well as the analysis in statistical terms.

Assesment of the work of instructors

1) Please rate the work carried out by instructors:

SCALE		N	%
1	Highly unsatisfactory	0	0,0
2	Unsatisfactory	0	0,0
3	Neutral	3	33,3
4	Satisfactory	1	11,1
5	Highly satisfactory	5	55,6
TOTAL SCORE		9	100

Mean	4,22
Median	5,00
Standard deviation	0,92




Assesment of the following characteristics of the contents:

2) Practical use of the contents.

SCALE		N	%
1	Very low	0	0,0
2	Low	0	0,0
3	Neutral	0	0,0
4	High	4	44,4
5	Very high	5	55,6
TOTAL SCORE		9	100

Mean	4,56
Median	5,00
Standard deviation	0,50






3) Degree of complexity of the contents.

SCALE		N	%
1	Very low	1	11,1
2	Low	0	0,0
3	Neutral	0	0,0
4	High	5	55,6
5	Very high	3	33,3
TOTAL SCORE		9	100


Mean	4,00
Median	4,00
Standard deviation	1,15



4) The contents met my expectations.

SCALE		N	%
1	Strongly disagree	0	0,0
2	Disagree	0	0,0
3	Undecided	2	22,2
4	Agree	2	22,2
5	Strongly agree	5	55,6
TOTAL SCORE		9	100


Mean	4,33
Median	5,00
Standard deviation	0,82



5) The contents have had a positive effect in my investigation work/my job.

SCALE		N	%
1	Strongly disagree	0	0,0
2	Disagree	0	0,0
3	Undecided	1	11,1
4	Agree	1	11,1
5	Strongly agree	7	77,8
TOTAL SCORE		9	100

Mean	4,67
Median	5,00
Standard deviation	0,67






6) The issues involved were very interesting.

SCALE		N	%
1	Strongly disagree	0	0,0
2	Disagree	0	0,0
3	Undecided	0	0,0
4	Agree	3	33,3
5	Strongly agree	6	66,7
TOTAL SCORE		9	100


Mean	4,67
Median	5,00
Standard deviation	0,47



7) Assesment on the methodology used.

SCALE		N	%
1	Highly unsatisfactory	0	0,0
2	Unsatisfactory	1	11,1
3	Neutral	2	22,2
4	Satisfactory	1	11,1
5	Highly satisfactory	5	55,6
TOTAL SCORE		9	100


Mean	4,11
Median	5,00
Standard deviation	1,10



8. Assesment of the material provided.

SCALE		N	%
1	Highly unsatisfactory	0	0,0
2	Unsatisfactory	0	0,0
3	Neutral	3	33,3
4	Satisfactory	2	22,2
5	Highly satisfactory	4	44,4
TOTAL SCORE		9	100


Mean	4,11
Median	4,00
Standard deviation	0,87





9. I would take a course following the line of this one.

SCALE	N	%
Yes	7	87,5
No	1	12,5
TOTAL SCORE	8	100



10. Please rate your overall satisfaction with the course:

SCALE	N	%
1 Highly unsatisfactory	0	0,0
2 Not satisfactory	0	0,0
3 Neutral	2	22,2
4 Satisfactory	4	44,4
5 Highly satisfactory	3	33,3
TOTAL SCORE	9	100

Mean	4,11
Median	4,00
Standard deviation	0,74

